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Abstract
The aim of the present study is to investigate the synergistic effect of silicon and aluminum content on the austenite-ferrite transformation temperatures on cooling (Ar3, Ar1) in non-oriented (GNO) Al-Si-low carbon electrical steel strips. Two specimens with different Al contents: A=0.22 wt% and B=0.61 wt%Al, were analyzed by “in-situ” high temperature X-ray diffraction experiments. The samples were austenitized at 1050 °C for 5 minutes and sequentially cooling in a stepwise manner by steps of 10 °C inside an environmental chamber installed in a Philips X’Pert multi-purpose diffractometer. X-ray diffraction patterns were recorded every 10 °C during cooling from 1000 to 720 °C. The austenite to ferrite transformation temperatures on cooling, Ar3 and Ar1, were estimated from changes in the intensities of the (110)-α and (111)-γ peaks as a function of temperature. The results of the experiments show that the transformation temperatures increase with increasing aluminum content from 0.22 to 0.61 wt%. In addition, the two-phase field (austenite + ferrite in the system Fe-C decreases with increasing silicon and aluminum contents for these GNO steels. X-Ray diffraction results were supported by microstructural observations of quenched samples of steel B which were subjected to similar heat treatment conditions than those applied in the X-ray diffractometer experiments. Thin ferrite films (~ 4-10 µm) were observed in the microstructure of specimens of steel B quenched from temperatures close to the experimental Ar3.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Hot rolled grain non-oriented (GNO) electrical steel sheets made of thin-slabs produced by CSP process (Continuous Strip Processing) were used in this study. The materials employed in the production of this steel include scrap metal 67% and sponge iron 33 %. Big quantities of scrap metal are charged into the
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electric furnace directly from the container, and therefore, it will result in an increase of residual elements such as Cu and S. These two elements can cause a strong negative effect on the ductility of the steel during hot rolling process[1].

Actually, two main types of electrical steel: grain-oriented and grain non-oriented are manufactured with silicon content from 0.5 to 5 wt %, depending on the use in which they will be applied[2]. “Electric” is a term commonly used to describe the application of those steels, which during the service are subjected continually to magnetic fields. By the addition of a small quantity of silicon the magnetic properties of Fe-C alloys are much increased.

It is known that alloys steels sheets with silicon for electrical applications in order to reduce power losses which occur with use of alternating current. These losses consist of two components, namely losses resulting from eddy currents and hysteresis losses. Eddy current losses reduce greatly as the content of silicon in the steel increases; hysteresis losses are dependent on impurities in the steel and irregularities in the crystal structure of the steel and increase slightly by alloying with silicon[3]. The steels alloyed with Silicon for electrical applications notably improved the performance of the electrical transformers and electric machines. Silicon and Aluminum are the most effective and widely used alloying elements to produce the highest electrical resistivity values of the electrical steels and, therefore, notably diminish the magnetic losses, the induced currents are so difficult to occur and consequently, prevent Foucault currents losses[4].

C-Mn, C-Mn-Al plane and micro-alloyed steels exhibit low ductility tensile test results when the plastic deformation is carried out close to the austenite-to-ferrite transformation temperature Ar3. In general, the curves of area reduction to fracture (RA) as a function of the temperature exhibit a minimum (lost of ductility) that invariably this associated with intergranular cracking[5 and 6].

The percent reduction of area at fracture, measured in a tensile test, is considered as a suitable parameter to determine the ductility of a material[7 and 8]. In general, curves of reduction of area at fracture (RA) as a function of temperature exhibit a ductility trough with three regions: A low ductility region and two high ductility regions at highest and lowest temperatures to Ar3[2]. The results in figure 1 show that the electrical steel manufactured with 100 % sponge iron exhibit a low ductility region (% RA < 30) at temperatures between 950 and 1,025 °C. The ductility of the steel is recovered at highest and lowest temperatures. On the other hand, the electrical steel manufactured with 67 % scrap metal, the ductility decreases rapidly with increasing temperature from 850 to 950 °C and % RA < 20 values remain constant until 1,200 °C.

Recent investigations about of the effect of the deformation temperature on the ductility of GNO electrical steels with 0.06 wt % C, have demonstrated that the minimum of ductility of this kind of steels occurs to temperatures between 1,000 and 1,050 °C. In this case, the tensile-crack mechanism changes from transgranular for nucleation, growth and coalescence of microcavities to intergranular. The mechanisms of intergranular cracking of low C steels deformed at high temperatures have been associated with the formation of intergranular ferrite films (5-20 µm thickness) induced by deformation concentrations to temperatures between Ae3 and Ar3 on the boundaries of austenite grains[5, 6, 9 and 10]. On the other hand, higher Ar3 temperatures can be obtained by lowering the carbon and Manganese levels or refining the grain size[11]. The improvement in ductility at the low temperature end of the hot ductility in steels tested at low strain rates, always corresponds to the presence of a significant volume fraction of ferrite; ferrite having excellent ductility. Thus, straightening at lower temperatures when a significant amount of ferrite is present can give excellent ductility, more than enough to prevent cracking. The presence of ferrite will also ensure that the load requirements for bending are not excessive.

Figure 1. Temperature effect on the ductility of electrical steels austenitized at 1250 ºC for 15 min and deformed at 5x10–4 s–1.

Figura 1. Efecto de la temperatura sobre la ductilidad en aceros eléctricos austenizados a 1250 ºC durante 15 min y deformados a 5x10–4 s–1.
Two distinctly different microstructural features may lead to strain concentrations at austenite grain boundaries: thin ferrite films and precipitate free zones. Plain carbon as well as low-alloyed steels have been shown to be susceptible to intergranular fracture in the temperature region in which unbending of the continuously cast strand is performed[7].

In order to explain the Phenomenon of Intergranular Cracking during deformation at high temperature of GNO electrical steels, austenite to ferrite transformation temperatures during cooling (Ar3 , Ar1) have been determined using “in situ” high temperature X-ray diffraction technique. The present study has considered two GNO steels with 0.06 wt % C, 0.61 wt % Si, 0.5 %wt Mn and two different Al contents to assess the influence of chemical composition on the transformation temperatures.

2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Two 3.5 mm thick, hot rolled GNO Si-Al low carbon steel strips with aluminium contents of A=0.22 and B=0.61 wt% in which the initial microstructure consists of ferrite and pearlite, were the steels used in this work. The specimens were obtained from a production of hot rolled grain non-oriented (GNO) electrical steel sheets made of thin-slabs produced by CSP process (Continuous Strip Processing). The chemical compositions of the investigated steels are shown in table I.

To achieve optimum results using “in situ” X-ray diffraction technique, a sample that is both representatives of the bulk and free of contaminants is imperative. It is required that the specimen thickness be on the order of 0.3 to 0.8 mm. The steps involved in the preparation of this specimen are:

— Reduction of thickness from 3.5 to 0.8 mm. This step involves generating a 0.8mm strip using a grinding drill.
— Reduction of thickness from 0.8 to 0.3 mm. The sample is mechanically polished with SiC abrasive paper on gyratory plate machine. The aim of this process is to thin the center of the steel strip while minimizing damage to the center of the surface of the sample.
— Ultrasonic cleaning. To remove a variety of contaminants from parts immersed in aqueous media (ethanol). The contaminants can be dirt, oil, grease, buffing/polishing compounds.

The dimensions required for the “in situ” experiments were 0.3 mm thick, 9 mm wide and 15 mm long.

Each sample was placed on a Platinum filament into the high temperature chamber of the Philips X’Pert diffractometer. In order to obtain the intrinsic results, a moderate flux of Helium gas through the hot chamber leads to, at least ten orders of magnitude, an increment in the X-ray diffraction peak intensities when compared with Argon gas only.

In figure 2 a) heating and cooling cycles are shown. As can it be appreciated, before to be recorded high temperature X-ray diffraction patterns the humidity of the environment and specimen were dried at 300 °C for 3 min.

The specimen A was heated at a rate of 1 °C s–1 to 300 °C and soaked during 3 min, then heated at 1 °C s–1 to 1,050 °C for 5 min. Subsequently, the temperature was lowered further in a stepwise manner by steps of 1,000, 990, 980 down to 720 °C at a rate of ~1 °C s–1. At each selected temperature, 2-theta scans between 40 and 45 degrees 2-theta were measured at 0.02 °2-theta/s to record the diffracted intensities of the (110)α and (111)γ peaks in a period of 250 s. Heating and cooling rates between successive temperatures were ~–1 °C s–1. 28 X-ray diffraction patterns for specimen A were recorded.

The specimen B was subjected to the same heating and cooling conditions to those applied to the specimen A. However, 17 X-ray patterns diffraction were measured from 1,000 to 830 °C. No significant shifts in the intensity of peaks below 850 °C were observed.

To study the influence of the heat treatment and variations in the chemical composition on the resulting microstructure, specimens of steel B were austenitized. In figure 2 b) similar conditions to x-ray diffraction measurements during controlled heating and cooling, the specimen B was heated at a rate of 1 °C s–1 to 830 °C and held there for 3 min. After cooling at the same rate, the specimen was reheated at a rate of 1 °C s–1 to 1,050 °C for 5 min. Subsequently, the temperature was lowered further as above.

Table I. Chemical composition of A and B GNO Electrical Steels [wt %]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.00105</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.0168</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.0164</td>
<td>0.00192</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
thermal cycles of A and B specimens were used in the heat treatments applied to seven 3.5 mm thick specimens of steel B. All specimens are introduced into a muffle set at 1,050 °C, soaked for 5 min, and then cooled at 1,000 °C. Thereafter, they were directly quenched from selected temperatures: 1,000, 965, 950, 900, 850, 790 and 720 °C in a 5 °C salt ice-water bath. The heating and cooling rates applied directly to the specimens during the muffle-heat treatments were 7 °C s⁻¹ and 0.15 °C s⁻¹, respectively. The highest quenching rate obtained from these experiments was –921.4 °C s⁻¹.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In figure 3 for a better appreciation the X-ray diffraction peaks are shown from 42 to 45 degrees 2-theta nevertheless, they were measured from 40 to 45 degrees 2-theta. Figure 3 a) illustrates the evolution of X-ray diffraction peaks according to (110)α and (111)γ of ferrite and austenite, respectively. As indicated in the figure, the high-intensity peaks of ferrite and austenite appear at 44.6 and 43.4° 2θ, respectively. The patterns show changes in the intensities of these peaks, as a function of temperature. It can be appreciated, that austenite is the only equilibrium phase at 1,000 °C. The dotted line shows the Ar3 temperature obtained in the specimen A, the ferrite phase began to appear about 905 °C and, apparently the austenite-to-ferrite transformation ends at ~ 730 °C.

The figure 3 b) shows the effect of the temperature on the intensity of ferrite and austenite peaks obtained in the X-ray diffraction patterns measured from 40 to 45 degrees 2-theta during cooling from 1,000 to 830 °C in the specimen B. At lower temperatures the austenite peak is no longer observed in the diffraction pattern. As can be seen, the ferrite phase appears first at ~ 940 °C (pattern marked with dotted line) and, apparently, the transformation ends at ~ 830 °C. It is also noteworthy that, during cooling from the austenite phase field, the position of maximum intensity of the (111)γ peak shifts to larger 2-theta angles as the temperature decreases up to ~ 830 °C. This effect is due thermal contraction of the austenite. At lower temperatures, this peak shift is no longer observed. This effect is due to the volume changes associated with the phase transformation.

The Ar3 temperature of the specimen B is significantly higher than the observed in low carbon electrical steels; this phenomenon could be attributed to the effect of high contents of silicon and aluminum, which increase the phase stability range of the ferrite in the experimental steels[12 and 13].

4. THERMO CALC SIMULATION

Figure 4 shows the calculated section of the Fe-Si-C-Al phase diagram calculated by Thermo-Calc Software.
In this figure, the thermodynamic simulations were carried out by keeping the carbon, silicon and manganese contents constants (0.06, 0.6, 0.5 wt %, respectively). The solid line expresses the equilibrium temperature $\text{Ae}_3$ at 912.5 °C for Al content of 0.22 wt % and the dotted one expresses the $\text{Ae}_3$ at 962.5 °C for Al content of 0.61 wt % calculated by Thermo Calc. According to the results obtained in “in situ” X-ray diffraction experiments of A and B specimens, the critical transformation temperatures $\text{Ar}_3$ are close to the $\text{Ae}_3$ temperatures calculated by Thermo-Calc software.

For Al content of 0.22 wt % the calculated critical temperature $\text{Ae}_3$ was determined at 912.5 °C, that is to say, shift to 7.5 °C lower than the experimental $\text{Ar}_3$ obtained at 905 °C (specimen A). For 0.61 wt % Al the $\text{Ar}_3$ simulation was shown at 962.5 °C, that is to say, 22.5 °C higher than to the $\text{Ar}_3$ obtained on the specimen B (940 °C). In the specimen with the highest aluminium content the two-phase rank ($\gamma$+$\alpha$) decreases. Thereby, in the steels with 0.06 wt % C, alloyed with 0.22 and 0.61 wt % Al, the two-phase ranks were 175 °C and 110 °C, respectively. Therefore, the contents of Al and Si exhibit a synergistic effect on the critical transformation temperatures in GNO-electrical steels.

The effect of the temperature on the magnitude of the integrated intensity (area under the curve) of the (110) $\alpha$ and (111) $\gamma$ peaks obtained in the experiments during the cooling is shown in the figures 5 and 6. As can be seen, the curves exhibit a sigmoid behavior, characteristic of the diffusion-controlled phase transformation. The main characteristic of this diffusion controlled phase transformation is mass exchange between austenite and ferrite phases leading to spatial extension of ferrite phase while the austenite phase shrinks.

Figure 3. Effect of temperature on the intensity on the X-ray diffraction patterns of low C, GNO Si-Al electrical steel during cooling. a) specimen A from 1000 to 720 °C and b) specimen B from 1000 to 830 °C.

Figura 3. Efecto de la temperatura sobre la intensidad en los patrones de DR-X de los aceros eléctricos GNO durante el enfriamiento. a) muestra del acero A de 1000 a 720 °C y b) muestra de acero B de 1000 a 830 °C.

Figure 4. Fe-Si-C-Al phase diagram calculated with Thermo Calc software for chemical composition of specimens A and B.

Figura 4. Diagrama de fases Fe-Si-C-Al calculado con Termo Calc para la composición química de los aceros A y B.

In this figure, the thermodynamic simulations were carried out by keeping the carbon, silicon and manganese contents constants (0.06, 0.6, 0.5 wt %, respectively). The solid line expresses the equilibrium temperature $\text{Ae}_3$ at 912.5 °C for Al content of 0.22 wt % and the dotted one expresses the $\text{Ae}_3$ at 962.5 °C for Al content of 0.61 wt % calculated by Thermo Calc. According to the results obtained in “in situ” X-ray diffraction experiments of A and B specimens, the critical transformation temperatures $\text{Ar}_3$ are close to the $\text{Ae}_3$ temperatures calculated by Thermo-Calc software.

For Al content of 0.22 wt % the calculated critical temperature $\text{Ae}_3$ was determined at 912.5 °C, that is to say, shift to 7.5 °C lower than the experimental $\text{Ar}_3$ obtained at 905 °C (specimen A). For 0.61 wt % Al the $\text{Ar}_3$ simulation was shown at 962.5 °C, that is to say, 22.5 °C higher than to the $\text{Ar}_3$ obtained on the specimen B (940 °C). In the specimen with the highest aluminium content the two-phase rank ($\gamma$+$\alpha$) decreases. Thereby, in the steels with 0.06 wt % C, alloyed with 0.22 and 0.61 wt % Al, the two-phase ranks were 175 °C and 110 °C, respectively. Therefore, the contents of Al and Si exhibit a synergistic effect on the critical transformation temperatures in GNO-electrical steels.

The effect of the temperature on the magnitude of the integrated intensity (area under the curve) of the (110)$\alpha$ and (111)$\gamma$ peaks obtained in the experiments during the cooling is shown in the figures 5 and 6. As can be seen, the curves exhibit a sigmoid behavior, characteristic of the diffusion-controlled phase transformation. The main characteristic of this diffusion controlled phase transformation is mass exchange between austenite and ferrite phases leading to spatial extension of ferrite phase while the austenite phase shrinks.
The integrated intensity of the diffraction peaks is proportional to the volume fraction of ferrite or austenite, that is produced whereas the X-ray diffraction pattern is recorded, added to the volume fraction of these phases contained in the steel before the temperature increase or decrease. Thus, these curves are an indicative mode of the additional quantity of phase (austenite or ferrite) that can be formed when the temperature decrease. In any event, the curves can be used to estimate the coexistence of ferrite-austenite rank in the steel. Figure 6 shows the volume percentage of ferrite and austenite of the specimens A and B, it can also be appreciated that, the high content of Al accelerates the kinetics of the austenite-ferrite transformation.

5. HEAT TREATMENT

Figure 7 illustrates the evolution sequence of the microstructure in specimens of steel B quenched into a salt ice-water bath at 5 ºC. It can be appreciated, after quenching from 997 ºC, at room temperature the microstructure consists of a martensitic
microstructure. However, in the specimen rapidly quenched from 965, the microstructure shows thin films of ferrite of ~ 4.5 µm. At 950 °C ferrite phase thickens to 8.3 µm. At temperatures below 950 °C, the ferrite films thicken following a parabolic behavior according to[14]. Figure 8 shows the effect of temperature on thickens of ferrite phase. Can be appreciated that the concentration of the deformations at a ferrite layer formed surrounding the austenite grains at temperatures corresponds to Ae3 and Ar3 rank according to[6 and 7]. It is evident that the austenite-ferrite transformation is a diffusional process of carbon, and the distribution of carbon atoms between ferrite and austenite and also, carbon diffusion in non-transformed austenite are the process to determine phase transformation rate. Nucleation and growth of ferrite phase at the boundaries of austenite occurs during cooling of austenite phase, this ferrite is known as pro-eutectoid ferrite. Growth of ferrite follows a parabolic law as a function of time. This kinetic behavior is due to carbon accumulated, just at the interface of austenite phase, increases; therefore, most time will be required to diffusion of carbon to the middle of non-transformed austenitic grain. The diffusion process of carbon atoms at the volume of austenite determines the growth of ferrite.

The microstructure of specimens quenched from 950 to 850 °C consists of a mixture of three phases: ferrite (α), martensite (α′) and bainite (α₀). In this case, quenching causes formation of bainite islands (α₀) in a ferritic matrix. The transformation of residual austenite during quenching produces the bainitic morphology. The thick of the ferrite progressively increases with diminishing heat treatment temperature (Fig. 8) and, therefore, the quantity of bainite obtained during the quenching diminishes as can be appreciated in figure 7.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Austenite-ferrite critical transformation temperatures for low carbon Si-Al hot rolled GNO steels were determined by “in situ” X-ray diffraction technique.
For the steel with 0.22 wt % Al, the Ar3 and Ar1 temperatures were found to be 905 and 730 °C, respectively.

Austenite-ferrite transformation in GNO steels depends on the Al and Si contents, increasing Al to 0.61 wt % causes Ar3 to increase to 940 °C and Ar1 to 830 °C. Increasing the aluminium content in this kind of steel reduces considerably the austenite-ferrite phase field. Aluminium and silicon produce a synergistic effect; the aluminum has not a strong effect as the silicon on the critical transformation temperatures (Ar3 and Ar1) of Si-Al GNO electrical steels. In addition, increasing aluminium content accelerates the kinetics of austenite-ferrite transformation.

Thermo Calc simulations show Ae3 temperatures close to Ar3 temperatures of the experimental steels due to DRX experiments were not made under continuous cooling conditions completely. However, the Ar3 temperature obtained by DRX in-situ technique can be considered as the most critical temperature in which the ductility loss occurs during hot rolling process of GNO steels.

Thin ferrite films (4-9 µm thick) were observed on the microstructures of steel B specimens quenched from a temperature close to Ar3. The thickness of the ferrite films formed surrounding the austenite grains does not change significantly with temperature between Ae3 and Ar3. At temperatures below Ar3 the ferrite film thickens rapidly and, in this point, the ductility will be fully recovered when approximately 40% ferrite is present (~ 25 µm thick ferrite). Finally, the present study contributes to reduce the incidence of intergranular cracking in Al-Si hot rolled GNO electrical steels.
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