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Abstract

Commercially pure titanium alloy, Ti-6Al-4V alloy and stainless steel screw implants were evaluated in both Ringer and synthetic saliva physiological solutions at body temperature by EIS (Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy) with immersion times of 30 d. Results were simulated as a "sandwich system" composed by four capacitors-resistances connected in series with the solution resistance. A model explaining the results in terms of the porosity and thickness of four different layers, was proposed.
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Resumen

Se utilizó la técnica de la Espectroscopía de Impedancia Electroquímica para evaluar en soluciones fisiológicas artificiales (Ringer y saliva sintética) muestras extraídas de tornillos de implantes dentales certificados de titanio comercialmente puro, aleación Ti-6Al-4V y acero inoxidable a temperatura corporal, con tiempos de inmersión hasta de 30 d. Los resultados se simularon mediante un modelo del tipo sandwich de cuatro elementos RC, conectados en serie con una resistencia de la solución. A partir de de esta simulación, se propone un modelo que explica los resultados obtenidos en términos de la evolución de la porosidad y el espesor de cuatro diferentes capas que se desarrollan en la superficie de los materiales evaluados.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Dental alloys are usually Hg-based (amalgams), Ti-based (commercially pure and Ti-6Al-4V), stainless steels (CrNiMo type), precious based (gold, silver, palladium, platinum and its combinations) or Ni-Ti alloys. It is a common practice in restorative surgery on edentulous patients the use of titanium and Ti-6Al-4V to support a complete denture prostheses, a terminal or intermediate abutment for fixed bridgework or partial dentures, or a single tooth replacement, overdenture or hybrid denture. These dental implants can be used in the mandible or maxilla in forms of screws and healing heads to complete the tissue healing process. Various sizes are available to fit in the sockets created in healed bone or into fresh extraction sites. Conversely, stainless steel 316L is commonly employed in form of dental wire for restorative retentions and orthopaedic implants as femoral prostheses, compresion plates, screws and pins.

Various physiological synthetic fluids are used to simulate body, bucal, dentinal or bone conditions. It is normal to use Ringer, Hartmann, or Tyrode’s solutions to simulate the synovial or interstitial fluids. All of them contain great amounts of chlorides, as it is typical of the dentinal fluids. Various synthetic saliva solutions includes the use of urea, lactic acid and tiocianate ions. In addition, it is common to use microoganisms to simulate the formation of biofilms or flourine ions to evaluate the effect of anticariogenic agents.

These alloys have been frequently assessed by means of the Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopic technique —EIS.
Potenciodynamic Curves[1,4 and 6-12], Linear Polarization Resistance[2 and 3], Potenciostatic or Galvanostatic curves and Electrochemical noise [1,4,6-12 and 16].

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the behaviour of three implant alloys (commercially pure titanium, Ti 6Al 4V and stainless Steel 316L) in two physiological solutions (Ringer and saliva synthetic solutions) by employing the EIS technique.

2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The specimens of commercially pure titanium and Ti 6Al 4V alloy from certificated, hexagonal top screw 3.75 × 13 mm, were extracted[12]. Furthermore, stainless steel specimens were extracted from certificated orthopaedic implant cortex screw of 4.5 mm diameter × 30 mm length. All the implants were machined, grinded and polished with SiC 1000 mesh, to a nominal round cross section of c.a. 0.03 cm², then diamond cut to a nominal length of 4 mm. A 1 mm diameter copper rod was spot welded to the implant by capacitor discharge welding. Each assembly was mounted in phenolic metallographic resin (compressed to nominal length of 4 mm). A 1 mm diameter copper field. A pyrex tube of 2 mm inner diameter was protected from the test solution by cast epoxic rod in the phenolic paste. The above contact was disear welding. Each assembly was mounted in a wood table covered by aluminum paper and provided with an antivibratory system consisting of six tennis balls.

Two corrosive media were used. The solutions were prepared with distilled water and reagent grade materials. The Ringer’s solution[12] containing 8.60 g • l⁻¹ NaCl, 0.3 g • l⁻¹ KCl and 0.33 g • l⁻¹ CaCl₂. In the tests with this solution, high purity nitrogen gas (4 ppm O₂ and 1.5 ppm H₂O) was bubbled to the cell at a nominal 4 cubic foot per hour. The solutions was renewed weekly.

The synthetic saliva[12] was prepared with 0.25 g • l⁻¹ K₂HPO₄ • 3H₂O, 0.30 g • l⁻¹ NaH₂PO₄, 1.50 g • l⁻¹ NaHCO₃, 0.7 g • l⁻¹ NaCl, 0.33 g • l⁻¹ KSCN and 0.13 g • l⁻¹ urea. Lactic acid was added to obtain pH 6.7. In the tests with this solution, air was bubbled using a pool fish pump. These solutions were renewed twice a week.

An ACM Instruments AutoAC was employed. All test were performed at free corrosion potential. Care had to be taken in order to avoid interferences in the signals, for example, those generated by triac responses and sudden changes of air or water pressure. The frequency ranges varied from 4000 to 0.0025 Hz, fifteen logarithmic spaced readings, with 10 mV of voltage amplitude. The interpretation of the impedance results was made by adjusting the data to a four capacitor-resistances model, connected in series with a solution resistance. The model was accepted when the coefficient correlation was 0.995 or greater.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The EIS graphs obtained for mean immersion times of 5, 11 and 24 d in both synthetic physiologic solutions of the three implant dental materials, appear in the figures 1 to 6.
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Figure 1. Nyquist plot in Ringer's solution - 5 d.
Figure 1. Diagrama de Nyquist en solución de Ringer - 5 d.

Figure 2. Nyquist plot in saliva solution - 5 d.
Figure 2. Diagrama de Nyquist en solución de saliva - 5 d.

Figure 3. Nyquist plot in Ringer's solution - 11 d.
Figure 3. Diagrama de Nyquist en solución de Ringer - 11 d.

Figure 4. Nyquist plot in saliva solution - 11 d.
Figure 4. Diagrama de Nyquist en solución de saliva - 11 d.

Figure 5. Nyquist plot in Ringer's solution - 26 d.
Figure 5. Diagrama de Nyquist en solución de Ringer - 26 d.

Figure 6. Nyquist plot in saliva solution - 26 d.
Figure 6. Diagrama de Nyquist en solución de saliva - 26 d.
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The above EIS results were simulated by an equivalent electrical circuit composed by four condensers and four resistance connected in series with the solution resistance. In these case, the impedance of the system are:

\[ Z = R_{\text{in}} + \frac{R_1}{j\omega C_1 R_1} + \frac{R_2}{j\omega C_2 R_2} + \frac{R_3}{j\omega C_3 R_3} + \frac{R_4}{j\omega C_4 R_4} + 1 \]  

(1)

The value of \( R_{\text{in}} \) was taken as 10 ohms-cm\(^2\) in both solutions. The units of the capacitances of the condensers \( C_1, C_2, C_3 \) and \( C_4 \) are \( \mu\text{F} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2} \) with the condition \( C_1 < C_2 < C_3 < C_4 \). The units of resistances \( R_1, R_2, R_3 \) and \( R_4 \) are ohms-cm\(^2\), with the subsequent restriction \( C_1 R_1 < C_2 R_2 < C_3 R_3 < C_4 R_4 \).

The Polarization Resistance was calculated by the equation

\[ R_p = \lim_{\omega \to 0} Z = R_{\text{in}} + R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + R_4 \]  

(2)

All the data produced by the simulation of the three implants in the two synthetic physiological solutions are graphically shown in figures 7 to 12. The first column in each figure is related to immersion times. The 2\(^{nd}\) to 5\(^{th}\) columns show the data for the capacitors \( C_1 \) to \( C_4 \) (\( \mu\text{F} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2} \)). Respectively, columns 6\(^{th}\) to 8\(^{th}\) show the values of the resistances associated to each condensers, \( R_1 \) to \( R_4 \) (ohms \cdot \text{cm}^{-2} \) and the last column shows the polarization resistance, \( R_p \) (ohms \cdot \text{cm}^{-2} \) deduced from the model.

The average correlation coefficients between the adjusted values deduced from the model to

Figure 7. Values of the parameters deduced according to the time of immersion (1\(^{st}\) column) in the case of stainless steel in Ringer's solution.

Figure 8. Values of the parameters deduced according to the time of immersion (1\(^{st}\) column) in the case of stainless steel in saliva solution.

Figure 9. Values of the parameters deduced according to the time of immersion (1\(^{st}\) column) in the case of Ti 6Al 4V alloy in Ringer's solution.

Figure 10. Values of the parameters deduced according to the time of immersion (1\(^{st}\) column) in the case of Ti 6Al 4V alloy in saliva solution.
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Experimental data, taken as real part, imaginary part and impedance value, are respectively 0.998, 0.993 and 0.998.

The stainless steel shows better performance in the Ringer’s media compared to the other alloys. In the saliva media the better performance was shown by the Ti 6Al 4V alloy. The other two alloys show a similar behaviour in this media. In all tests the Rp is lower at greater immersion times.

The phenomenological model presented in this study, is based in three assumptions. First, each layer \((i = 1, 2, 3, 4)\) has its own capacitance \(C_i\) in \(\mu F \cdot cm^{-2}\) and resistance \((R_i\) in ohms \(\cdot cm^2)\) deduced from each simulation. Second, the layers are adjacent to one another in a “sandwich” system. Third, due to the restriction \(C_1R_1 < C_2R_2 < C_3R_3 < C_4R_4\) imposed for the model, the relaxation times of the layers are in the relation \(\tau_1 < \tau_2 < \tau_3 < \tau_4\).

If \(A_i\) is the total surface area exposed to the physiological solution (in the present study, 0.03 cm²), then the capacitor and the resistance associated to the total area of the sample is:

\[
C_{a_i} = C_i \cdot A_t \quad \text{(in } \mu F) \tag{3}
\]

\[
R_{a_i} = \frac{R_i}{A_t} \quad \text{(in ohms)} \tag{4}
\]

If \(\varepsilon_0\) is the permittivity in the vacuum \((= 8.854 \times 10^{-12} F \cdot m^{-1})\) and \(\varepsilon_n\) is the dielectric constant of each layer, then the thickness of each layer can be roughly calculated as:

\[
L_i = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \cdot \varepsilon_n \cdot A_t}{C_{a_i}} \tag{5}
\]

If the resistances associated to each layer are only due to the electrolyte that remains inside the pores, then the total area of pores is roughly:

\[
A_{p_i} = \frac{L_i \cdot \rho}{R_{a_i}} \tag{6}
\]

where \(\rho\) is the resistivity of the Ringer solution (60 ohm \(\cdot cm\)) or the saliva solution (75 ohm \(\cdot cm\)).

More accurately, the thickness of each layer can be calculated by further iteration as:

\[
L_i^* = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \cdot \varepsilon_n \cdot (A_t - A_{p_i})}{C_{a_i}} \tag{7}
\]

where \(L_i^*\) is the calculated thickness after the first iteration.

Then, the new total area of the pores, after the first iteration is:

\[
A_{p_i}^* = \frac{L_i^* \cdot \rho}{R_{a_i}} \tag{8}
\]

The process continues until minimum variations between two successive iterations are reached, then obtaining a desired degree of precision.

Graphically, the model is represented in the figure 13.

The proposed model implies that the pores between the layers are interconnected in order to secure that the relaxation times associated to the layers are only due to the discharges of the capacitors through the resistances associated to the
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Figure 13. Equivalent electrical circuit used to simulate EIS results and proposed model of “sandwich” system of the layers of the implants in contact on the physiological solution. The pores of the layers are interconnected and filled with electrolyte solution.

If \( \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_4 = 2000 \), the evolution of the thickness of the layers (\( L_1 \) to \( L_4 \) in nm) and the total area of the interconnected pores (\( A_{\text{p1}} \) to \( A_{\text{p4}} \), in \( \text{nm}^2 \)) for the Ti 6Al 4V alloy, is graphically shown in figure 15(a) in saliva solution (\( \rho = 60 \, \text{ohm-cm} \)) and in the figure 15(b) in Ringer’s solution (\( \rho = 50 \, \text{ohm-cm} \)).

It is interesting to note that the thickness of the layers, deduced from the model tend to decrease with immersion time, in agreement with the increase of the capacitances of the layers, as depicted in figures 9 and 10. The total area of interconnected pores increases with time in agreement with the decrease of resistances associated to these layers. These results correspond to the observation of the decrease of the total impedance, or in other words, to the Polarization Resistance with respect to time.

Both trend shown in the figures 15(a) and 15(b) are in agreement with the prediction that the resistance polarization of Ti 6Al 4V in the Ringer and saliva solution are in the same order of magnitude (10000 to 100000 ohms \( \cdot \) \( \text{cm}^2 \)), as shown in figures 9 and 10. In addition to, the slight increase of \( R_p \) observed in the first days of immersion...
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Figure 14. Thickening of the layers (a), and total area of pores (b) according to the dielectric constant value for the Ti 6Al 4V alloy in saliva solution after 2 days of immersion.

Figure 14. Espesor de las capas (a) y área total de los poros (b) en función de la constante dieléctrica en el caso de la aleación Ti 6Al 4V tras 2 días de inmersión en solución de saliva.

Figure 15. Evolution of the thickness of the layers (L1 to L4 in nm) and the total area of interconnected pores (Ap1 to Ap4 in nm²) according to the time of immersion for Ti 6Al 4V alloy in saliva solution (a) and Ringer’s solution (b). The resistivities of saliva and Ringer’s solutions are respectively 60 and 50 ohm · cm.

Figure 15. Evolución del espesor de las capas (L1 a L4 en nm) y el área total de los poros interconectados (Ap1 a Ap4 en nm²) en función del tiempo de inmersión en el caso de la aleación Ti 6Al 4V en la solución de saliva (a) y en la solución de Ringer. (b). Las resistividades de las soluciones de saliva y de Ringer son respectivamente 60 y 50 ohm.cm.


This model explains the results of EIS in terms of layers developed in front of the surface of the metal. It is possible to correlate the outer layer (with parameters C1 and R1) to the electrical double layer (with values around 100 μF/cm²) and the inner layer (with parameters C4 and R4), to the fourth layer. The intermediate layers are possibly related to the differential humectation of the most inner layer. Further efforts are necessary in order to find the values of the dielectric constant of the passive layers, usually in the range of nanometers. In the case of the AISI 410 stainless steel, the passive layers have semiconducting characteristics[12] and it is possible that the dielectric constant differ from the reported values of “bulk” materials used in industrial ceramic capacitors[18-20].

It is important to point out that the results of this model are only qualitative and would be taken just as comparative measure of how different properties (porosity and thickness) of the layers changes with immersion times.

4. CONCLUSIONS

EIS results shows that the stainless steel display better performance in the Ringer’s solution.
compared with the other alloys. In the saliva media the better performance was shown by the Ti 6Al 4V alloy. The stainless steel and commercially pure titanium shows a similar behaviour in this media.

The simulation of EIS results employing an equivalent circuit composed by four RC elements (one condenser in parallel with one resistance) in series with the solution resistance visualize the trend of the parameters (capacitances and resistances) with immersion time.

The phenomenological model presented in this study, is based in the assumptions that each element RC is correlated to a layer with a passing interconnected pore filled with the electrolyte solution and the layers are adjacent in a "sandwich" system. In addition, interconnected pores are the path through the dissolution of the metal could take place. This model could explain the results of EIS in terms of the evolution of the thickness of the layers and the total area of pores, according to the immersion time.

In particular, the observation of the decrease of the total impedance, or in other words, the polarization resistance with respect to time is correlated to the decrease of the thickness of the layers (in agreement with the increases of the capacitances) and the increase of the total area of interconnected pores in the layers (in agreement with decreases of resistances).

This results of this model should be taken only as qualitative measure of how the porosity and thickness of the layers change with immersion times, due to the high degree of uncertainty associated to the dielectric constant of the passive layers.
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